1 TopBESS unitarity limits expressed via b_L, b_R, g''

I will study unitarity limits in the following scenarios: Scenario 1) $p = 1, b_L = 0$ limit

Scenario 2) $p = 1, b_L = b_R = b$ limit Scenario 3) $p = 0, b_L = 0$ limit

1.1 $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

To express unitarity limits directly in variables b_R, g'' , we show allowed regions in these parameters in Fig. 1. All partial waves are included, evaluated at two different energies $\sqrt{s} = 1.0$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 2.5$ TeV and superimposed.

We get from here a limit on g'' valid for $|b_R| \ge 0.015$

$$g'' \geq 4, \tag{1}$$

which is not as strict as the low energy limit $g'' \ge 10$.

For $|b_R| \leq 0.015$ we can see an interesting structure which has its origin in the unitarity violation in the peak region ($\sqrt{s} = 1.0$ TeV) of a_1 partial wave. This unitarity violation extends for $b_R = 0$ to very large values of g'' and is a small one (see Fig.2a) and disappeares if one uses exact tree level ρ resonance widths calculated by CompHEP (Fig. 2b). Nevertheless, if we do not ignore this violation, we can display it also in variables b_L, b_R and thus place a lower limit on them. For p = 0 (the most conservative case as hinted by Fig.1f), g'' = 10we get (Fig. 3)

$$|b_L| \geq 0.005, \tag{2}$$

$$|b_R| \geq 0.008 \tag{3}$$

1.2 $t\bar{t} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$

To express unitarity limits directly in variables b_L, b_R , we show allowed regions in these parameters for $\sqrt{s} = 2.5$ TeV in Figs. 4a,b. We get from here

$$\begin{aligned} |b_L, b_R| &\leq 1.4 \\ |b_L, b_R| &\leq 0.15 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

for g'' = 10 and g'' = 100, respectively.

1.3 $t\bar{b} \rightarrow t\bar{b}$

To express unitarity limits directly in variables b_L, b_R , we show allowed regions in these parameters for $\sqrt{s} = 2.5$ TeV in Figs. 5a,b for g'' = 10 and g'' = 100, respectively. These limits are not stricter than the limits of Eq. 4.



Figure 1: $\pi^+\pi^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$: Allowed regions (white) in the b_R (horizontal axis) vs g'' (vertical axis) parametric space **a**),**b**) Scenario 1, **c**),**d**) Scenario 2, **e**),**f**) Scenario 3. Figs. **a,c,e** represent a total view, Figs. **b,d,f** a close-up view of the small b_R region. All partial waves are included and superimposed. $M_{V^0} = 1$ TeV. Unitarity is violated in the 'blue' area.



Figure 2: $\pi^+\pi^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$: $|a_1|$ partial wave (vertical axis) as a function of \sqrt{s} (horizontal axis) for $b_L = b_R = 0, g'' = 10, M_{V^0} = 1$ TeV. **a**) approximate widths **b**) exact tree-level widths



Figure 3: a_1 partial wave: allowed regions (white) in the b_L (horizontal axis) vs b_R (vertical axis) parametric space, p = 0, g'' = 10. $M_{V^0} = 1$ TeV. Unitarity is very slightly violated in the 'blue' area.



Figure 4: $t\bar{t} \to t\bar{t}$: Allowed regions (white) in the b_L (horizontal axis) vs b_R (vertical axis) parametric space for **a**) g'' = 10 and **b**) g'' = 100. All partial waves are included and superimposed. $M_{V^0} = 1$ TeV. Unitarity is violated in the 'blue' area.



Figure 5: $t\bar{b} \rightarrow t\bar{b}$: Allowed regions (white) in the b_L (horizontal axis) vs b_R (vertical axis) parametric space for **a**) g'' = 10 and **b**) g'' = 100. All partial waves are included and superimposed. $M_{V^0} = M_{V^+} = 1$ TeV. Unitarity is violated in the 'blue' area.